

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/761,647	01	1/18/2001	Galina Dorozhkina	109289.00173	6089
28765	7590	07/22/2005		EXAMINER	
WINSTON			MARKHAM, WESLEY D		
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, – -			1762	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

``		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Notice of Non-Compliant	09/761,647	DOROZHKINA ET AL.
	Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit
	,	Wesley D. Markham	1762
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address
req	e amendment document filed on 10 May 2005 is consuirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendmuired.		
TH	E FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be under  C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	' CFR 1.72.	
	<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identifies "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed displayed showing amended figures, without ma</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	ated. Replacement drawings
	<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include t</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not er</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper h</li> <li>☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and ote: the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status t be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).
For http	further explanation of the amendment format require c://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preogno	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § otice/officeflyer.pdf	714 and the USPTO website at
TIN	IE PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	DE:	
1.	Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-confiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmitted <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted	the non-compliant after-final ame	endment with corrections, the
2.	Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, where corrected section of the non-compliant amendment amendment is one of the following: a preliminary american request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 Cpriod under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment is continued examination (RCE) under 37 Cpriod un	t in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 endment, a non-final amendment CFR 1.114), a supplemental amer	1, if the non-compliant (including a submission for a dment filed within a suspension
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to	1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant o a Q <i>uayl</i> e action.	amendment is a non-final
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will resul Abandonment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliamendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-final	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: On 4/18/2005, the applicant filed an amendment (to the claims, specification, and drawings) with the result being that a "Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment" was mailed. However, upon further review, the technical support staff determined that the 4/18/2005 amendment was only non-compliant in regards to the drawings and entered the specification and claims portions of the amendment. On 5/10/2005, the applicant filed a response to the Notice including the claims, specification, and drawings sections. However, the claims section of the 5/10/2005 amendment was not the same as the claims section of the 4/18/2005 amendment (i.e., 4/18/05 indicated that claims 3-16 were canceled, and 5/10/05 indicated that claims 3-5 and 8-14 were withdrawn and claims 6, 7, 15, and 16 were canceled). Therefore, it appears that the applicant is attempting to "reinstate" previously canceled claims 3-5 and 8-14. These two conflicting amendments renders the status of the claims of the instant application unclear.

WIL